⁶⁸Ga-FAPI PET/CT imaging provides better detection of hepatocellular carcinoma recurrence compared with ¹⁸F-FDG: a case report

H. Hou^{1#}, Q. Huang^{2#}, X. Su², G. Zhang³, M. Zhang^{2*}

¹Department of Oncology, the Fifth Hospital of Wuhan, No.122, Xianzheng Street, Wuhan 430050, Hubei, P.R. China ²Department of Oncology, Tongji Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, No.1095 Jie Fang Avenue, Wuhan 430030, Hubei, P.R. China

³Department of Nuclear Medicine, Tongji Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, No.1095 Jie Fang Avenue, Wuhan 430030, Hubei, P.R. China

ABSTRACT

▶ Case report

*Corresponding author:

Mingsheng Zhang, M.D., **E-mail:** zms75@163.com

Received: September 2023 Final revised: February 2024 Accepted: April 2024

Int. J. Radiat. Res., July 2025;

23(3): 829-831

DOI: 10.61186/ijrr.23.3.42

Keywords: HCC, recurrence, ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT, ⁶⁸Ga-FAPI PET/CT, case report.

Huiying Hou and Qiiu Huaug are Co-firest author.

Background: Globally, primary liver cancer is a common tumor and patients generally have a poor prognosis. Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the most common primary malignancy of the liver. In most cases, the diagnosis of HCC relies on imaging. Case presentation: This study reports on a male patient diagnosed with primary HCC who went into remission after hepatectomy, chemotherapy, and splenic embolization. In the 4th year of follow-up, elevated alpha-fetoprotein was detected (325 ng/mL). However, neither computed tomography (CT) nor magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) revealed significant abnormalities. To clarify the diagnosis, 2-deoxy-2-18F-fluoro-Dglucose integrated positron emission tomography/computed tomography (18F -FDG-PET/CT) was used for initial diagnosis. However, no significant FDG uptake was seen in multiple nodules of the liver. Further examination was performed using ⁶⁸Ga-fibroblast activation protein inhibitor positron emission tomography/computed tomography (68Ga-FAPI PET/CT), which showed intense FAPI uptake in multiple lesions in the liver, providing direct evidence for the diagnosis of potential HCC recurrence. Finally, the lesions were completely resected and the diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) was confirmed. *Conclusion:* Previous studies have shown that ⁶⁸Ga-FAPI PET/CT is more sensitive than ¹⁸F-FDG for the detection of HCC. This study suggests that ⁶⁸Ga-FAPI PET/CT is a new option for patients with recurrent HCC who are not sensitive to ¹⁸F-FDG.

INTRODUCTION

Primary liver cancer is ranked as the sixth most prevalent cancer and stands as the third principal cause of cancer death globally ⁽¹⁾. Developing countries display a heightened incidence of liver diseases ⁽²⁾. Risk factors encompass hepatitis B virus, hepatitis C virus, fatty liver, alcoholic cirrhosis, obesity, smoking, and more ⁽³⁾. Typically diagnosed in advanced stages, liver cancer leads to a less favorable prognosis, with hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) comprising the majority of cases ⁽⁴⁾.

Common screening methods include liver ultrasound and al-pha-fetoprotein (AFP). In most cases, HCCs can be diagnosed based on imaging (5,6). Dynamic imaging using CT/MRI, especially for HCCs >20mm, reveals a distinct diagnostic profile characterized by intense contrast uptake in the arterial phase, succeeded by contrast washout in the delayed venous phase (5). The primary advantage of

diagnostic PET/CT lies in the enhanced localization of FDG uptake, contributing to an improved detection of local tumor staging ⁽⁷⁾.

While ¹⁸F-FDG remains the most frequently utilized PET tracer in the clinical settings, its efficacy in the early diagnosis of HCC is limited. On the other hand, ⁶⁸Ga-FAPI demonstrates high sensitivity for hepatic malignant tumors, aiding in the early detection of HCC.

CASE PRESENTATION

A male patient who was diagnosed with HCC reached remission after receiving hepatectomy, an XELOX (Oxaliplatin + Capecitabine) chemotherapy regimen for 4 cycles, and a splenic embolization. At year 4 of follow-up, when the patient was 64 years old, an elevated AFP (325 ng/mL) was found. Laboratory test results of the patient were as follows:

hemoglobin 14.2 g/L, white blood cell 4420/mm3, neutrophil count 3030/mm3, platelet count 173,000/mm3, urea 6.10 mmol/L, creatinine 88 mmol/L, uric acid 296 mmol/L, aspartate transaminase 29 U/L, alanine transaminase 24 U/L, lactate dehydrogenase 184 U/L, total protein 73.5 g/L, albumin 45 g/L.

Abdominal CT scan identified multiple round hypodense nodules within the liver. A hepatic MRI revealed multiple round T2 hyperintensity nodules in the liver (figure 1).




Figure 1. Abdominal CT revealed multiple round hypodense nodules in the liver (**A**, **B** arrowhead). Hepatic MRI revealed multiple round T2 hyperintensity nodules in the liver (**C**, **D** arrowhead).

The patient underwent ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT, which revealed multiple small round hypodense or isodense nodules without increased uptake (figure 2).




Figure 2. 18F-FDG PET/CT was performed to evaluate primary lesion. (A) The maximal intensity projection image and PET axial views (B, C) and views of CT (D, E) and views of fused PET/CT (F, G) showed some small round hypodense or isodense nodules (B,D,F arrowhead; C,E,G hollow arrow) in the liver without increased uptake.

Five days following the earlier scans, the patient underwent a ⁶⁸Ga-FAPI PET/CT scan. In the caudate lobe, 68Ga-FAPI PET/CT exhibited a high uptake of FAPI (SUV max: 5.3), along with a strip-shaped elevated FAPI uptake observed in the hilum of the liver (SUV max: 5.2). The liver exhibits several

hypodense or isodense nodules, with the largest measuring approximately 16mm in diameter, showing no elevation in FAPI radioactivity uptake (figure 3).




Figure 3. With the consent of the patient, a 68Ga-FAPI PET/CT scan was conducted five days following the 18F-FDG PET/CT.

(A) The maximal intensity projection image, and axial view images of PET (B, C), CT (D, E), and fused PET/CT (F, G), revealed higher uptake compared to 18F-FDG in caudate lobe (C, E, G hollow arrow SUVnax:5.3) and in the hilum of the liver (B, D, F arrowhead; SUVnax:5.2). In addition, some small round hypodense or isodense nodules without increased uptake in the liver were found.

Following the findings from the ⁶⁸Ga-FAPI PET/CT imaging, the patient underwent surgical intervention, and a biopsy was obtained for pathological analysis, confirming the recurrence of HCC.

DISCUSSION

Existing research indicates that, in individuals with cirrhosis, the sensitivity of contrast-enhanced CT or MRI in detecting HCC falls within the range of 80 to 88% (8, 9). Dynamic contrast-enhanced CT exhibits detection sensitivity comparable to multiparametric MRI in visualizing liver tumors. Typically, HCC demonstrates uniform or uneven enhancement in the arterial phase, particularly during the late arterial phase. However, the enhancement of HCC in the portal or delayed phase was observed to be lower compared to the enhancement in liver parenchyma (10-12).

PET can reveal earlier liver lesions on account of focal metabolic changes. The primary PET tracer extensively used in oncology PET/CT imaging is ¹⁸F-FDG. Studies indicate that in HCC, glucose transporters and glucose-6-phosphatase activity can vary, leading to variable ¹⁸F-FDG uptake ⁽¹³⁻¹⁶⁾. Typically, significantly increased ¹⁸F-FDG uptake suggests malignant lesions. However, in benign, aseptic inflammatory, or infectious lesions, the markedly increased uptake of ¹⁸F-FDG can also be

detected (17, 18). A study demonstrated a correlation between uptake of FDG in HCC and the degree of HCC differentiation. High-grade HCC exhibit higher FDG uptake compared to low-grade HCC (7). Due to the variability, FDG PET scans are likely to detect higher-grade HCCs but may not effectively detect low-grade HCCs.

⁶⁸Ga-FAPI PET/CT utilizes a quinoline-based FAP inhibitor (FAPI) to visualize the serine protease fibroblast activation protein (FAP), which is selectively overexpressed in cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs). CAFs constitute the primary component of the stroma surrounding cancer cells, particularly in desmoplastic cancers ⁽¹⁶⁻¹⁹⁾. While active CAFs express FAP to facilitate malignancy, the stroma of healthy tissues scarcely express FAP, in addition to sites of remodeling, active tissue damage, and inflammation ^(16, 17, 20). HCC is strongly related to liver fibrosis. More specifically, 80-90% of HCCs follow fibrotic or cirrhotic livers ⁽²¹⁾. Thus, ⁶⁸Ga-FAPI PET/CT may efficiently identify liver tumors with a higher susceptibility than ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT.

In summary, when compared to ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT, ⁶⁸Ga-FAPI PET/CT demonstrates higher practicability in detecting HCC, particularly in the case of early-stage HCC. ⁶⁸Ga-FAPI PET/CT possesses the potential to provide more comprehensive diagnostic information across various cancers, paving the way for novel applications in tumor staging or restaging.

Abbreviations

CT: computed tomography; MRI: magnetic resonance imaging; PET/CT: Positron emission tomography/computed tomography; HCC: hepatocellular carcinoma AFP: al-pha-fetoprotein; cancer-associated fibroblasts: 18F-FDG: CAFs: ¹⁸Fluorine-fluoro-2-deoxyglucose; FAP: fibroblast activation protein; FAPI: fibroblast activation protein inhibitor; MIP: maximal intensity projection

Trade name and country of origin of all appliances (PET/CT), FDG, and software's used in the study: GE Discovery VCT64 PETCT (Ameracia), DC AMS PHARMA (China), Radient Viewer (China).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Not applicable.

Ethics approval and consent to participate: Not applicable. Not classed as research under the CHINA Health Research Authority's regulations on human research.

Ethical considerations: The patient signed informed consent.

Consent for publication: Written informed consent was obtained from the patient for publication of this case report and accompanying images.

Availability of data and materials: Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

Competing interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding: None.

Authors' contributions: HYH and QH was responsible at the ward and for patient follow-up; The manuscript was drafted by HYH and XTS.GPZ and MSZ critically reviewed and revised the article. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

REFERENCES

- Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, et al. (2021) Global cancer statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA Cancer J Clin, 71(3): 209-249.
- Starley BQ, Calcagno CJ, Harrison SA (2010) Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and hepatocellular carcinoma: a weighty connection. Hepatology, 51(5): 1820-32.
- Center MM and Jemal A (2011) International trends in liver cancer incidence rates. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev, 20(11): 2362-8.
- Anwanwan D, Singh SK, Singh S, et al. (2020) Challenges in liver cancer and possible treatment approaches. Biochim Biophys Acta Rev Cancer. 1873(1): 188314.
- Bruix J and Sherman M (2011) American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases. Management of hepatocellular carcinoma: an update. Hepatology. 53(3): 1020-2.
- European Association for The Study of The Liver; European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer. (2012) EASL-EORTC clinical practice guidelines: management of hepatocellular carcinoma. J Hepatol. 56(4): 908-43.
- Torizuka T, Tamaki N, Inokuma T, et al. (1995) In vivo assessment of glucose metabolism in hepatocellular carcinoma with FDG-PET. J Nucl Med, 36(10): 1811-7.
- Salem N, MacLennan GT, Kuang Y, et al. (2007) Quantitative evaluation of 2-deoxy-2[F-18]fluoro-D-glucose-positron emission tomography imaging on the woodchuck model of hepatocellular carcinoma with histological correlation. Mol Imaging Biol, 9(3): 135 -43
- Lee JD, Yang WI, Park YN, et al. (2005) Different glucose uptake and glycolytic mechanisms between hepatocellular carcinoma and intrahepatic mass-forming cholangiocarcinoma with increased (18) F-FDG uptake. J Nucl Med, 46(10): 1753-9.
- Rennert J, Grosse J, Einspieler I, et al. (2021) Complementary imaging of ultrasound and PET/CT: A new opportunity? Clin Hemorheol Microcirc, 79(1): 39-54.
- 11.Lee YJ, Lee JM, Lee JS, et al. (2015) Hepatocellular carcinoma: diagnostic performance of multidetector CT and MR imaging-a systematic review and meta-analysis. Radiology, 275(1): 97-109.
- Lim JH, Kim CK, Lee WJ, et al. (2000) Detection of hepatocellular carcinomas and dysplastic nodules in cirrhotic livers: accuracy of helical CT in transplant patients. Am J Roentgenol, 175(3): 693-8.
- 13. Roh MS, Jeong JS, Kim YH, *et al.* (2004) Diagnostic utility of GLUT1 in the differential diagnosis of liver carcinomas. *Hepatogastroenterology*, *51*(*59*): *1315-8*.
- 14. Cook GJ, Fogelman I, Maisey MN (1996) Normal physiological and benign pathological variants of 18-fluoro-2-deoxyglucose positronemission tomography scanning: potential for error in interpretation. Semin Nucl Med, 26(4): 308-14.
- 15. Cheng MF, Guo YL, Yen RF, et al. (2018) Clinical utility of FDG PET/ CT in patients with autoimmune pancreatitis: a case-control study. Sci Rep. 8(1): 3651.
- 16. Puré E and Blomberg R (2018) Pro-tumorigenic roles of fibroblast activation protein in cancer: back to the basics. *Oncogene*, 37(32): 4343-4357.
- 17. Brennen WN, Isaacs JT, Denmeade SR (2012) Rationale behind targeting fibroblast activation protein-expressing carcinoma-associated fibroblasts as a novel chemotherapeutic strategy. *Mol Cancer Ther*, 11(2): 257-66.
- Garin-Chesa P, Old LJ, Rettig WJ (1990) Cell surface glycoprotein of reactive stromal fibroblasts as a potential antibody target in human epithelial cancers. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*, 87(18): 7235-9.
- Shiga K, Hara M, Nagasaki T, et al. (2015) Cancer-associated fibroblasts: their characteristics and their roles in tumor growth. Cancers (Basel), 7(4): 2443-58.
- Chen X and Song E (2019) Turning foes to friends: targeting cancerassociated fibroblasts. Nat Rev Drug Discov, 18(2): 99-115.
- 21. Altekruse SF, Devesa SS, Dickie LA, et al. (2011) Histological classification of liver and intrahepatic bile duct cancers in SEER registries. J Registry Manag, 38(4): 201-5.