

# Radioactivity content of dried nuts consumed in Kütahya, Turkey

H. Çetinkaya\* and N.H.N. Alabboodi

Department of Physics, Dumlupınar University, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Kütahya, Turkey

## ► Short Report

## ABSTRACT

### \*Corresponding author:

Hakan Çetinkaya, Ph.D.,

E-mail: [hcetinkaya@gmail.com](mailto:hcetinkaya@gmail.com)

Received: November 2025

Final revised: May 2025

Accepted: June 2025

Int. J. Radiat. Res., January 2026;  
24(1): 273-276

DOI: 10.61186/ijrr.24.1.40

**Background:** Nuts are nutrient-rich foods and are recommended to be promoted to the public for their immense health benefits. The radioactivity content of 8 different types of nuts consumed in Kütahya, Turkey, was determined in 2024. **Materials and Methods:** Fourteen nut samples collected from local markets and supermarkets in Kütahya province were pulverized and dried, then waited in a 1 L Marinelli container for 30 days to attain equilibrium between Ra-226 and Th-232, their daughter products, finally sample content is determined using Canberra 3x3 NaI (TI) detector. In addition, the samples' Annual Effective Ingestion Dose values were estimated. **Results:** K-40, Ra-226 and Th-232 concentrations of the samples were measured between  $173.8 \pm 2.7$  and  $305.0 \pm 3.6$  Bq kg<sup>-1</sup>, <MDA (2.6) and  $3.1 \pm 0.7$  Bq kg<sup>-1</sup>, <MDA (2.6) and  $3.3 \pm 0.7$  Bq kg<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. **Conclusions:** The annual effective ingestion dose resulting from nut consumption was calculated assuming that an adult consumes 20 g of dried nuts daily and found between 9.1 and 19.0 μSv y<sup>-1</sup>, with a mean of 13.9 μSv y<sup>-1</sup>. A comparison of radioactivity levels and ingestion dose results with the limits presented in the UNSCEAR report shows that they were below the safety limits.

**Keywords:** Nuts, radioactivity, ingestion, Kütahya Turkey.

## INTRODUCTION

Uranium and thorium series radionuclides with potassium, are the primary radioactive materials naturally occurring in the Earth's crust. These are absorbed by the plants from the soil to plants, and are often found to be accumulated in the plant bodies. Consequently, the ingestion of such foods can result in internal exposure to ionizing radiation depending on the concentration of radionuclides <sup>(1)</sup>. Thus, monitoring the radioactivity content of foods, like other natural or artificial substances, becomes important.

Nuts are nutrient-dense, widely consumed across Turkey, and are actively promoted to the public for their health benefits <sup>(2)</sup>. According to the 2023 Nuts Sector Report, Turkey's domestic nut consumption accounted for 700 thousand tons of nuts sold, and 170 thousand tons were imported. The sector also exports 370 thousand tons of nuts <sup>(3)</sup>. Despite the high production and consumption of nuts in Turkey, the studies on the radioactivity levels of nuts are scarce; if present, they are limited to hazelnuts and peanuts <sup>(4-7)</sup>. Furthermore, Brazil nuts also contain elevated levels of radionuclide concentration <sup>(8-12)</sup>. The studies conducted so far also include radioactivity concentrations of certain types of nuts for various countries <sup>(9, 12-17)</sup>.

In this study, the potent concentration of the radionuclides of K-40 (potassium), Ra-226 (radium), and Th-232 (thorium) in a total of 14 samples belonging to 8 different nuts consumed in Kütahya

Province, Turkey, were examined with a NaI (TI) gamma spectroscopy system. The results were compared with previous reports in the literature, as well as the international safety limits set by health organizations. Given the limited research on the radioactivity levels of nuts in Turkey, this study aims to contribute to expand on the literature.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Sample collection and preparation

A total of 14 samples, consisting of 8 different types of nuts; almond, hazelnut, roasted chickpea, Antep pistachio, walnut, peanut, Siirt pistachio, and cashew, were collected from local markets and supermarkets in Kütahya Province, Turkey, between February and July 2024.

A total of 2.5 kg of each dried nut sampled, excluding Antep and Siirt pistachios, were procured in sealed packages containing only kernels, with each pack weighing between 100 and 200 grams based on the brand. Multiple packages were purchased per sample to ensure uniformity across production dates and brands of the packs. Antep pistachios and Siirt pistachios were purchased in shell (3.5 kg each); the shells were processed during sampling, and only their kernels were used as samples. All the nut samples were sourced from Turkey.

Samples were labeled and dried in the oven (brand: Labart, origin: China) for 8 h at 100 °C after the pulverization process (brand: Lavion grain mill, origin: China). Due to the high oil content, they were

stored in the laboratory until their mass remained constant. Samples were transferred to the 1 L Marinelli beakers. The net weight of each sample is weighted (brand: Kern, Origin: Germany). Samples are stored in an airtight condition for a minimum of 30 days to attain equilibrium between Ra-226, Th-232, and their daughter products. Each sample was labeled with net mass, sample name, and the sealing date (18, 19).

### Radioactivity measurements

The activity concentrations of Ra-226, Th-232, and K-40 content of the nuts were determined with a Canberra 3x3 NaI (Tl) detector system with Genie 2000 software (brand: Mirion Technologies, origin: USA) (18, 19). Background radiation was minimized by the surrounding detector via 1 cm-thick copper and 5 cm of lead. Energy and efficiency calibration were conducted using a reference soil sample with a known concentration (18). Sample preparation and radioactivity measurements were performed in Kütahya Dumlupınar University.

The live time of the detector was set to 20,000 s for both sample and background measurements. Background radiation was measured daily by using a Marinelli beaker filled with 1 L ultra-pure water (18, 19). The activities of K-40, Ra-226, and Th-232 were determined using energies of 1460, 1764, and 2614 keV, respectively. Genie 2000 and Fitzpeaks (brand: JF Computing Service, origin: UK) were used in gamma spectroscopy analysis. Minimum Detectable Activity (MDA) was determined for K-40, Ra-226, and Th-232 as 7.0, 2.6, and 2.6 Bq kg<sup>-1</sup>, respectively.

### Annual effective ingestion dose

The Annual Effective Ingestion Dose,  $D$ , resulting from nut consumption is the sum of dose contributions from the nuclides K-40 ( $D_K$ ), Ra-226 ( $D_{Ra}$ ), and Th-232 ( $D_{Th}$ ) found in the nuts can be calculated with equation 1 (4):

$$D = A_i \times I \times DCF_i \quad (1)$$

Where;  $A_i$  represents the activity of related nuclides, and  $I$  represent the intake of food (4). It was assumed that the intake of nuts is approximately 7.3 kg per year (a handful of nuts per day).  $DCF_i$  represents the dose conversion factors related to ingestion. ICRP (International Commission on Radiological Protection) recommends for individuals over 17 years old in the units of  $\mu\text{Sv Bq}^{-1}$  as 0.0062 for K-40, 0.28 for Ra-226, and 0.23 for Th-232 (20).

### Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was accomplished using

Microsoft Excel (Office 365) and R version 4.4.1 (Lucent Technologies, USA) for the values above the MDA, since the levels below the MDA do not present a certain number or zero. The Shapiro-Wilk test was performed for radioactivity results and dose values to understand the statistical distribution. Sample uncertainties are calculated using counting statistics and presented as result  $\pm$  uncertainty.

## RESULTS

The radionuclides, K-40, Ra-226, and Th-232, content of 14 nuts measured in this study are presented in table 1. The Shapiro-Wilk test was applied to the results, and the p-value was found to be  $>0.05$ , which shows normal distribution. Samples activities vary between  $173.8 \pm 2.7$  and  $305.0 \pm 3.0$  Bq kg<sup>-1</sup> for K-40,  $<\text{MDA}$  and  $3.1 \pm 0.7$  Bq kg<sup>-1</sup> for Ra-226,  $<\text{MDA}$  and  $3.3 \pm 0.7$  Bq kg<sup>-1</sup> for Th-232. Samples mean activity values are  $242.9 \pm 3.0$  Bq kg<sup>-1</sup> ( $p=0.70$ ,  $\alpha=0.05$ ) for K-40,  $3.1 \pm 0.8$  Bq kg<sup>-1</sup> ( $p=0.51$ ,  $\alpha = 0.05$ ) for Ra-226, and  $3.0 \pm 0.8$  Bq kg<sup>-1</sup> for Th-232 ( $p=0.93$ ,  $\alpha=0.05$ ). The highest K-40, Ra-226, and Th-232 concentrations were determined in samples 4, 8, and 9, while sample 8 shows the lowest K-40 concentration. 10 samples Ra-226, 11 samples Th-232 levels are  $<\text{MDA}$ .

Estimated Annual Effective Ingestion Dose values are presented in table 2. The Shapiro-Wilk test was applied to the ingestion dose results and found to be normally distributed for  $\alpha=0.05$ . Annual Effective Ingestion Dose (D) values vary between 9.1 and 19.0  $\mu\text{Sv y}^{-1}$  with a mean value of  $13.9 \mu\text{Sv y}^{-1}$  ( $p=0.76$ ,  $\alpha=0.05$ ).

**Table 1.** Radioactivity concentrations of the dried nuts samples consumed in Kütahya Province.

|    | Sample Name        | K-40 (Bq kg <sup>-1</sup> ) | Ra-226 (Bq kg <sup>-1</sup> ) | Th-232 (Bq kg <sup>-1</sup> ) |
|----|--------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 1  | Almond 1           | $246.5 \pm 2.9$             | $3.1 \pm 0.7$                 | $<\text{MDA}$                 |
| 2  | Almond 2           | $221.1 \pm 3.1$             | $<\text{MDA}$                 | $<\text{MDA}$                 |
| 3  | Roasted chickpea 1 | $276.6 \pm 3.5$             | $<\text{MDA}$                 | $<\text{MDA}$                 |
| 4  | Roasted chickpea 2 | $305.0 \pm 3.6$             | $<\text{MDA}$                 | $<\text{MDA}$                 |
| 5  | Hazelnut 1         | $252.1 \pm 3.4$             | $3.0 \pm 0.9$                 | $<\text{MDA}$                 |
| 6  | Hazelnut 2         | $268.2 \pm 3.1$             | $<\text{MDA}$                 | $<\text{MDA}$                 |
| 7  | Walnut 1           | $183.5 \pm 3.2$             | $3.1 \pm 0.9$                 | $2.7 \pm 0.9$                 |
| 8  | Walnut 2           | $173.8 \pm 2.7$             | $3.1 \pm 0.7$                 | $<\text{MDA}$                 |
| 9  | Antep Pistachio    | $254.2 \pm 3.0$             | $<\text{MDA}$                 | $3.3 \pm 0.7$                 |
| 10 | Siirt pistachio    | $301.1 \pm 3.0$             | $<\text{MDA}$                 | $<\text{MDA}$                 |
| 11 | Peanut 1           | $246.9 \pm 3.0$             | $<\text{MDA}$                 | $<\text{MDA}$                 |
| 12 | Peanut 2           | $252.7 \pm 2.9$             | $<\text{MDA}$                 | $<\text{MDA}$                 |
| 13 | Cashew 1           | $218.5 \pm 2.9$             | $<\text{MDA}$                 | $3.0 \pm 0.7$                 |
| 14 | Cashew 2           | $200.5 \pm 2.6$             | $<\text{MDA}$                 | $<\text{MDA}$                 |
|    | Mean               | $242.9 \pm 3.0$             | $3.1 \pm 0.8$                 | $3.0 \pm 0.8$                 |

**Table 2.** Ingestion dose contribution of nuts.

|    | Sample name     | D <sub>K</sub><br>( $\mu\text{Sv y}^{-1}$ ) | D <sub>Ra</sub><br>( $\mu\text{Sv y}^{-1}$ ) | D <sub>Th</sub><br>( $\mu\text{Sv y}^{-1}$ ) | D<br>( $\mu\text{Sv y}^{-1}$ ) |
|----|-----------------|---|--|--|--------------------------------|
| 1  | Almond 1        | 11.2  | 6.35   |  | 17.5                           |
| 2  | Almond 2        | 10.0  |  |  | 10.0                           |
| 3  | Chickpea 1      | 12.5  |  |  | 12.5                           |
| 4  | Chickpea 2      | 13.8  |  |  | 13.8                           |
| 5  | Hazelnut 1      | 11.4  | 6.0  |  | 17.4                           |
| 6  | Hazelnut 2      | 12.1  |  |  | 12.1                           |
| 7  | Walnut 1        | 8.3   | 6.3  | 4.4  | 19.0                           |
| 8  | Walnut 2        | 7.9   | 6.4  |  | 14.3                           |
| 9  | Antep Pistachio | 11.5  |  | 5.5  | 17.0                           |
| 10 | Siirt pistachio | 13.6  |  |  | 13.6                           |
| 11 | Peanut 1        | 11.2  |  |  | 11.2                           |
| 12 | Peanut 2        | 11.4  |  |  | 11.4                           |
| 13 | Cashew 1        | 9.9   |  | 5.0  | 14.9                           |
| 14 | Cashew 2        | 9.1   |  |  | 9.1                            |
|    | Mean            | 11.0  | 6.3  | 5.0  | 13.9                           |

Empty Lines: Not calculated.

## DISCUSSION

Nuts can be classified alongside root vegetables, fruits, and leafy vegetables to compare our results with the reference activity concentrations in the UNSCEAR (United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effect of Atomic Radiation) 2000 report. According to this report, the activity values for root vegetables and fruits are 30 and 0.5 mBq kg<sup>-1</sup> for Ra-226 and Th-232, respectively, while they are 50 and 15 mBq kg<sup>-1</sup> for leafy vegetables, respectively<sup>(1)</sup>. Ra-226 and Th-232 concentrations of this study, except for <MDA, are higher than the reference values presented by UNSCEAR. Specific references to compare K-40 activity remain unavailable. Since radionuclides are transferred to nuts through the soil uptake by plants, the radioactivity of the nuts sampled in this study was determined to be below the world average soil radioactivity level.

Table 3 presents the activity comparison of nuts sampled in this study with those reported previously (4-7, 9, 12-17). Radioactivity concentrations of the nuts measured in this study fall within the limit found in the literature. The peak values reported in the studies presented in the literature for Ra-226 and Th-232 concentrations in hazelnuts in Turkey<sup>(4)</sup>, pistachios in Turkey<sup>(7)</sup> and Nigeria<sup>(14)</sup>, and almonds in Saudi Arabia<sup>(9)</sup> are significantly higher than the results found in this study. The K-40 contents of studies conducted in Turkey<sup>(7)</sup>, Saudi Arabia, and Nigeria<sup>(14)</sup> for peanuts, Saudi Arabia<sup>(9)</sup> and Iraq<sup>(13)</sup> for walnuts and cashews, Saudi Arabia<sup>(9)</sup> for hazelnuts, almonds, and chickpeas are higher. Nut types such as Brazil nuts, uncommon in Turkey's consumption, are excluded from this table. Additionally, no published work was available on the Antep and Siirt pistachio.

The calculated mean annual ingestion dose for nut consumption in this study can be considered lower than the ingestion dose estimated by UNSCEAR as 290  $\mu\text{Sv y}^{-1}$ <sup>(1)</sup>. The contribution of uranium and

thorium series radionuclides to the average ingestion dose was estimated by weighting the consumption amounts of different food types as 120  $\mu\text{Sv y}^{-1}$ , Ra-226, and Th-232 contributions to this dose are reported as only 6.3 and 0.38  $\mu\text{Sv y}^{-1}$ , respectively<sup>(1)</sup>. The estimated ingestion dose in this study due to Ra-226 and Th-232 activity is higher than reported in the UNSCEAR report.

**Table 3.** Radioactivity comparison of dried nuts sampled in this study with the literature.

| Nuts Type | Study region               | K-40<br>(Bq kg <sup>-1</sup> ) | Ra-226<br>(Bq kg <sup>-1</sup> ) | Th-232<br>(Bq kg <sup>-1</sup> ) |
|-----------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Hazelnut  | <b>This study</b>          | 252.1 - 268.2                  | <MDA - 3.0                       | <MDA                             |
|           | Turkey [4]                 | <MDA - 312                     | <MDA - 29                        | <MDA - 43                        |
|           | Turkish nuts in the UK [5] | 227-242                        | <MDA                             | <MDA                             |
|           | Saudi Arabia [9]           | 411.3                          |                                  |                                  |
|           | Iraq [13]                  | 325.62                         | 1.39                             | 1.01                             |
| Peanut    | <b>This study</b>          | 246.9 - 252.7                  | <MDA                             | <MDA                             |
|           | Turkey [6]                 | 193.3 - 273.9                  | 21.7 - 67.2                      | 6.9 - 24.4                       |
|           | Turkey [7]                 | 246.3 - 541.8                  | 2.9 - 7.6                        | 4.4 - 10.7                       |
|           | Saudi Arabia [9]           | 400.2 - 522.6                  |                                  |                                  |
|           | Brazil [12]                | 205                            |                                  |                                  |
|           | Iraq [13]                  | 329.4 - 376.5                  | 6.5 - 8.6                        | 0.3 - 5.0                        |
|           | Nigeria [14]               | 18.8 - 551.2                   | 4.81 - 35.7                      | 2.2 - 22.4                       |
| Almond    | <b>This study</b>          | 221.1 - 246.5                  | <MDA - 3.1                       | <MDA                             |
|           | Saudi Arabia [9]           | 396.5 - 444.7                  | 63.0                             | 50.5                             |
|           | Iraq [13]                  | 256.5                          | 4.9                              | 2.4                              |
|           | Namibia [15]               | 122.2                          | <MDA                             | <MDA                             |
|           | <b>This study</b>          | 173.8 - 183.5                  | 3.1                              | <MDA-2.7                         |
| Walnut    | Saudi Arabia [9]           | 296.32                         |                                  |                                  |
|           | Brazil [12]                | 136                            |                                  |                                  |
|           | Iraq [13]                  | 262.9 - 356.7                  | 4.2 - 8.7                        | 1.3 - 2.3                        |
|           | Nigeria [14]               | 96                             | ND*                              | 2.8(Ra-228)                      |
|           | <b>This study</b>          | 276.6 - 305                    | <MDA                             | <MDA                             |
| Chickpeas | Saudi Arabia [9]           | 552.2 - 581.9                  |                                  |                                  |
|           | <b>This study</b>          | 200.5 - 218.5                  | <MDA                             | <MDA-3.0                         |
| Cashew    | Saudi Arabia [9]           | 429.8                          |                                  |                                  |
|           | Brazil [12]                | 191                            |                                  |                                  |
|           | Iraq [13]                  | 289.8                          | 9.98                             | 1.4                              |
|           | Nigeria [14]               | 111                            | 6.5                              | 2.9                              |

Empty lines: No data or MDA level is given. ND\*: Not detected.

## CONCLUSION

The current study was on radionuclide levels in nuts sampled in the Kütahya province of Turkey. It was revealed that although activity contents may appear low when compared to typical soil radioactivity levels, the foods could significantly contribute to high doses in the relevant group, depending on consumption, and therefore, the importance of monitoring their activity contents was shown.

**Funding:** This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

**Conflict of interest:** The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

**Ethical considerations:** Not applicable.

**Authors' contribution:** All authors contributed equally to the design of the study, data collection, and analysis. The manuscript was written by the corresponding author. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

**AI usage for manuscript preparation:** None.

## REFERENCES

1. UNSCEAR (United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Atomic Radiation) (2000) United Nations General Assembly Vol. 1 Annex B. United Nations, New York.
2. Alasalvar C, Salvador J, Ros E (2020) Bioactives and health benefits of nuts and dried fruits. *Food Chem*, **314**:126192.
3. TUKSIAD (Nuts Trade Association in Turkey) (2024) 2024 TUKSIAD Journal [https://www.tuksiad.org/Webkontrol/SayfaYonetimi/Dosyalar/2024-tuksiad-dergi\\_sayfa\\_g673\\_tTyLhbqy.pdf](https://www.tuksiad.org/Webkontrol/SayfaYonetimi/Dosyalar/2024-tuksiad-dergi_sayfa_g673_tTyLhbqy.pdf) access date: 28 April 2025
4. Cevik U, Celik N, Celik A, et al. (2009) Radioactivity and heavy metal levels in hazelnut growing in the Eastern Black Sea Region of Turkey. *Food Chem Toxicol*, **47**(9): 2351-2355.
5. Peker K (2024) Birleşik Krallık'ta satışı gerçekleştirilen Brezilya fıncığı ve Türk fıncıklarındaki aktivite konsantrasyonlarının (238U, 232Th, 40K ve 137Cs) deneysel olarak belirlenmesi. *Gümüşhane Üniversitesi Fen Bilimleri Dergisi*, **14**(1):187-196.
6. Akkurt İ, Günoğlu K, Mavi B, et al. (2012) Natural radioactivity concentration of peanuts in Osmaniye-Turkey. *AIP Conf Proc*, **1476** (1): 245-248.
7. Karataşlı M, Turhan Ş, Abugoufa A, et al. (2019) Radiological assessment of internal exposure resulting from ingestion of natural radionuclides in *Arachis hypogaea* L. grown in Turkey. *Qual Assur Saf Crops Foods*, **12**(1): 11-17.
8. Ioannidis I, Paschalidou P, Sarrou I, et al. (2023) Radiometric analysis of potassium, radium and uranium levels in Brazil nuts. *J Radioanal Nucl Chem*, **332**(5): 1405-1408.
9. Al-Ghamdi AH (2018) Determination of natural radioactivity concentration in consumed nuts and seeds and their implications in human body. *Univers J Public Health*, **6**: 198-202.
10. Kluczkovski A, Martins M, Lobo E, et al. (2020) Trace elements and radionuclides in Brazil nuts from the Brazilian Amazon. *J Agric Res*, **8**: 795-805.
11. Rosa MM, Maihara VA, Taddei MHT, et al. (2022) The use of total diet study for determination of natural radionuclides in foods of a high background radiation area. *J Environ Radioact*, **242**:106793.
12. Garcêz RWD, Lopes JM, Filgueiras RA, et al. (2018) Study of K-40, Ra-226, Ra-228 and Ra-224 activity concentrations in some seasoning and nuts obtained in Rio de Janeiro city, Brazil. *Food Sci Tech*, **39**: 120-126.
13. Abojassim AA and Hashim RH (2019) Measurement of Natural Radioactivity in Certain Types of Nut Samples in Iraq, Iran. *J Med Phys*, **16**: 120-125.
14. Oladele BB, Ugbede FO, Arogunjo AM, et al. (2023) Gamma spectroscopy study of soil-plant transfer factor characteristics of 40K, 232Th and 226Ra in some crops cultivated in southwestern region of Nigeria. *Heliyon*, **9**(9): e19377.
15. Onjefu SA, Wilika NP, Hitila M, et al. (2022) Determination of Natural Radioactivity in Nuts and Seeds and their Radiological Implications in the Human Body. *Niger Ann Pure Appl Sci*, **5**(1): 207-214.
16. Ademola AK and Morakinyo RO (2020) Study of naturally occurring radioactive materials and some heavy metals from intake of some nuts and seeds in South-Western, Nigeria. *Phys Sci Int J*, **24**(6): 15-23.
17. El-Gamal H, Hussien MT, Salehc EE (2019) Evaluation of natural radioactivity levels in soil and various foodstuffs from Delta Abyan, Yemen. *J Radiat Res App Sci*, **12**(1): 226-233.
18. Biçer M and Çetinkaya H (2023) Measurement of radioactivity levels of liquid milk samples in Kütahya, Turkey. *Int J Radiat Res*, **21** (3): 589-592.
19. Çetinkaya H, Manisa K, Işık U (2022) Radioactivity content of building materials used in Kutahya province, Turkey. *Radiat Prot Dosim*, **198**(3): 167-174.
20. International Commission on Radiological Protection, ICRP (1995) Age-dependent Doses to Members of the Public from Intake of Radionuclides - Part 5 Compilation of Ingestion and Inhalation Coefficients. *ICRP Publication 72, Ann ICRP*, 26(1).